# Analysis of the Calculation of Cost of Production in the Semoga Laris Tofu Business, the Chinese Tofu Business Mrs. Feby and the Sumedang Tofu Business.

<sup>1</sup>Rezky Oktasari Sesti, <sup>2</sup> Nasib Subagio, <sup>3</sup>Christie Stephanie Piar Mulawarman University, Samarinda Indonesia <sup>1</sup>rezky080854@gmail.com, <sup>2</sup>nasibsubagio@gmail.com, <sup>3</sup>christstephaniepiar@gmail.com

#### **Abstract**

This study aims to determine the differences in the calculation of the cost of production carried out by the Semoga Laris Tofu Business, Ibu Feby's Chinese Tofu Business and the Sumedang Tofu Business using the calculation of the cost of production method to produce one type of goods. This study uses quantitative descriptive analysis techniques while the data collection techniques used are interviews, observation and documentation. The data analysis technique used is the Calculation of Cost of Production Analysis to produce one type of goods. Based on the results of the general analysis, the calculation results of the cost of production at the Tofu Business are selling out Rp. 8,587, the calculation of the cost of production at the Chinese Tofu Business, Mrs. Feby, is Rp. 18,149 and the calculation of the cost of production at the Sumedang Tofu Business is Rp. 10,950, where the selling price of the Tofu Business is Good. The selling price is Rp. 10,000/kg, the selling price of Ibu Feby's Chinese Tofu Business is Rp. 20,000/Kg and the selling price of Sumedang Tofu Business is Rp. 24,000/kg. The results showed that the calculation of the cost of production produced one type of goods when compared to the cost of goods manufactured used by the Semoga Laris Tofu Business, Ibu Feby's Chinese Tofu Business and the Sumedang Tofu Business gave different results, which was greater using the cost of production method producing one types of goods. This is because the calculations carried out by the Semoga Laris Tofu Business, the Chinese Tofu Business Mrs. Feby and the Sumedang Tofu Business have not been right in charging factory overhead costs.

**Keywords:** The Cost of Production Method Produces One Type of Goods, The Cost Of Production.

## **INTRODUCTION**

The Tofu Semoga Laris, Mrs. Feby Chinese Tofu Business and Sumedang Tofu Business have not fully paid attention to factory overhead costs but only focused on soybean raw materials and labor costs. Meanwhile, the costs incurred are not only soybean raw materials and labor costs, but there are still factory overhead costs that have not been taken into account.

Based on the observations of researchers in the field, there are several phenomena or indications of problems experienced by the Hopefully Laris Tofu

Business, Chinese Tofu Business and Sumedang Tofu Business, the problem experienced by these three tofu businesses is that when soybean prices rise, tofu companies do not increase the price of tofu but reduce the size know because they are worried that it will affect consumers.

According to Bustami (2010:49) "harga pokok produksi adalah kumpulan biaya produksi yang terdiri dari bahan baku langsung, tenaga kerja langsung dan biaya *overhead* pabrik ditambah persediaan produk dalam proses awal dan di kurang persediaan produk dalam proses akhir".

Based on this background, the writer is interested in conducting research with the title: "ANALYSIS OF THE CALCULATION OF COST OF PRODUCTION IN THE SEMOGA LARIS TOFU BUSINES, THE CHINESE TOFU BUSINESS MRS. FEBY AND SUMEDANG TOFU BUSINESS IN 2018"

Meanwhile, the purpose of this study is to present the research objectives to determine the cost of production at the Tofu semoga Laris Business, to find out the cost of production at the Chinese Tofu Business, Mrs. Feby and to find out the cost of production at the Sumedang Tofu Business using the analysis method of calculating the cost of production to produce one type of product.

#### **METHOD**

This type of research uses descriptive quantitative research. , "Quantitative research method is a research method based on the philosophy of positivism, used to examine the population or sample, data collection using research, data analysis is quantitative/statistical".

This research was conducted at Usaha Tahu Semoga Laris, which is located at Jl. Muhammad Said Gang 21, Sungai Kunjang Sub-district, Samarinda, Mrs. Feby's Chinese Tofu Business, which has its address at Jl. Antasari Gang 7, Sungai Kunjang District, Samarinda and Usaha Tahu Sumedang, which has its address at the Samarinda-Bontang axis road KM 38 Muara Badak.

The format of the cost of goods manufactured account may differ among companies, as well as in cost accounting books. The following is a format for the cost of goods manufactured account:

**Table 1.1 Method of Calculation of Cost of Production** 

ACCOUNT OF COST OF PRODUCTION PROCESS COST PRICE METHOD PRIOD XXX					
Production data :					
Product enter proce	SS				XXX
Product finished			Х	XXX	
Products in process,	materials a	nd conversio	n xxx		
					XXX
Fees charged :			C	ost of	
Cost	<u>Total</u>	<u>Equival</u>	ent —		
<u>element</u>	cost	product	<u>III</u>	ain/unit	
Raw material cost	XXX	XXX			
Labor costs	XXX	XXX	XXX		
Factory overhead cost	XXX+ XX	X	XXX+		
Amount	XXX		X	XX	
Calculation of the cost of production					
Cost of finished produc	t	= xx	X		
Cost of main in process			XXX		
Cost element :			jumlah		
Raw material cost			XXX		
Labor costs			XXX		
Factory overhead cost			XXX		
Total cost of production	in process				XXX+
Total calculated cost					XXX
Supriyono (2012, 152)					

Supriyono (2012: 152)

Cost of main production = <u>Total calculated cost</u> Production finished

## **RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

After calculating the costs of direct raw materials, direct labor costs and factory overhead costs, the following is the cost of production of tofu at the May Tofu Business, according to cost accounting theory using the cost of production method to produce one type of goods:

Table 1.2 Accounting of Cost of Production Method Cost of Processes in Tofu Business Semoga Laris in 2018

# ACCOUNT OF COST OF PRODUCTION PROCESS COST PRICE METHOD PRIOD 2018

**Production data:** 

Product enter process 162.000kg

Product finished 162.000 kg Products in process, materials and conversion 0

162.000 kg

Fees charged:

Cost Total Equivalent Cost of main/unit product

 Raw material cost
 Rp 1.134.000.00
 162.000 Kg
 7000

 Labor costs
 108.000.000
 162.000 Kg
 667

 Factory overhead cost
 100.492.000+
 162.000 Kg
 620 +

Amount Rp 1.342.492.000 8.587

Calculation of the cost of production

Cost of finished product =  $162.000 \times 8.587 = \text{Rp } 1.391.094.000$ 

Cost of main in process = 0

Cost element : jumlah

Raw material cost Rp 0

Labor costs 0
Factory overhead cost 0

Total cost of production in process 0 +

Total calculated cost Rp 1.391.094.000

Cost of main production =  $\frac{\text{Rp 1.391.094.000}}{162.000 \text{ kg}}$ 

= 8.587/kg

Based on the above calculations, it can be concluded that the cost of production per kg of tofu, according to the accounting cart, is Rp. 8,587.00.

Table 1.3 Accounting of Cost of Production Method Cost of Processing in Mrs. Feby's Chinese Tofu Business in 2018

 1014 2 40111000 111 20 20
ACCOUNT OF COST OF PRODUCTION
PROCESS COST PRICE METHOD
PRIOD 2018

**Production data:** 

Product enter process 10.800kg

Product finished 10.800 kg Products in process, materials and conversion 0

10.800 kg

Fees charged:

Total cost	Equivalent product	Cost of main/unit
Rp 75.600.000	$10.800~\mathrm{kg}$	/.000
18.000.000	$10.800~\mathrm{kg}$	1.666
ost <u>102.415.250+</u>	$10.800~\mathrm{kg}$	<u>9.483+</u>
Rp 196.015.250	)	18.149
	Cost  Rp 75.600.000 18.000.000 ost 102.415.250+	cost         product           Rp 75.600.000         10.800 kg           18.000.000         10.800 kg

Calculation of the cost of production

Cost of main in process

Cost of finished product =  $10.800 \times 18.149 = \text{Rp } 196.009.200$ 

Cost element: jumlah
Raw material cost Rp 0
Labor costs 0

Labor costs 0
Factory overhead cost 0

Total cost of production in process 0 +Total calculated cost Rp 196.009.200

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{Cost of main production} \\ \hline \textbf{10.800 kg} \end{array}$ 

= 18.149/Kg

Based on the above calculations, it can be concluded that the cost of production per Kg of Chinese Tofu Business by Mrs. Feby according to the accounting trolley is Rp. 18,149.00.

Table 1.4 Report of Cost of Production Method Cost of Processes in Sumedang Tofu Business in 2018

<b>Production data :</b> Product enter proces Product finished				
Product enter proces	PRI		ТНОД	
Product enter proces		OD 2018		
Product enter proces	S			
	S			
Droduct finished			90.720kg	
i i duuct iiiiisiieu		90.720	) kg	
Products in process,	materials and con	version	0	
_				90.720 kg
Fees charged:			C	J
Cost	<u>Total</u>	<u>Equivalent</u>	Cost of	
element	cost	product	main/unit	
<u>erement</u>	<u> </u>	promot		
Raw material cost	Rp 698.544.000	90.7	<sup>7</sup> ∠υ κg /./00	
Labor costs	88.000.000	90.720kg	970	
Factory overhead cos	st <u>206.827.000+</u>	90.720 kg	<u> 2.280+</u>	
Amount	Rp 993.371.000		10.950	
Calculation of the co	ost of production	ı		
Cost of finished product = $90.720 \times 10.950 = \text{Rp } 993.384.000$				
Cost of main in proce	ess	= 0		
Cost element:		juml	lah	
Raw material cost	$R_1$	p 0		
Labor costs		0		
Factory overhead co		0		
Total cost of product	_		<u>0 +</u>	
Total calculated cost			Rp 993.38	34.000

Cost of main production =  $\frac{\text{Rp } 993.384.000}{90.720}$ = 10.950/kg

Based on the above calculations, it can be concluded that the cost of production per Kg of Sumedang Tofu Business according to accounting theory is Rp. 10,950.00.

According to the results of the analysis of the calculation of the cost of production of tofu at the Tofu Business Hopefully Laris, the Chinese Tofu Business Mrs. Feby and the Sumedang Tofu Business, there is a difference in the calculation results according to the company and the calculation according to cost accounting. The following is a comparison of the cost of production according to the company and based on the calculation of cost accounting theory at the Tofu Business Semoga Laris, the Chinese Tofu Business Mrs. Feby and Usha Tahu Sumedang in 2018:

Table 4.25 Comparison of HPP for Tofu Business Hopefully Laris, Chinese Tofu

No.	Company Name	By Company	According to Cost Accounting Theory	Difference
1.	Usaha Tahu Semoga Laris	Rp 1.195,31	Rp 8.587	Rp 7.391,69
2.	Usaha Tahu Cina Ibu Feby	Rp 2.216,66	Rp 18.149	Rp 15.932,34
3.	Usaha Tahu Sumedang	Rp 718,66	Rp 10. 950	Rp 10.231,34

**Business Mrs. Feby and Sumedang Tofu Business** 

Data source: Hasil Penelitian, 2019

Based on the table above, it can be seen that the difference in the cost of production for the Tofu Business May Laris, the Chinese Tofu Business Mrs. Feby and the Sumedang Tofu Business according to the company's calculation and according to the cost accounting theory. The calculation based on the company's Tofu Semoga Laris has a cost of production of Rp. 1,195.31, while according to the cost accounting calculation, Usaha Tofu Hopefully Laris has a cost of production of Rp. 8,587 the difference between the company's calculation and the calculation of cost accounting is Rp. 7,391.69.

The calculation based on the Chinese Tofu Business company, Mrs. Feby, has a cost of production of Rp. 2,216.66, while according to the cost accounting calculation, Ms. Feby's Chinese Tofu Business has a cost of production of Rp. 18,149. The difference between the company's calculation and the cost accounting calculation is Rp. 15,932.34. The calculation based on the Sumedang Tofu Business company has a cost of production of Rp. 718.66, while according to the cost accounting calculation, the Sumedang Tofu Business has a cost of production of Rp. 10.950. The difference between the company's calculation and the cost accounting calculation is Rp. 10,231.34.

### CONCLUSION

Based on the results of the analysis and discussion that have been presented, it can be concluded that the Analysis of Cost of Production Calculation of the Tofu Business Hopefully Laris, the Chinese Tofu Business of Mrs. Feby and the Sumedang Tofu Business with the Cost Method of Processing One Type of Goods are as follows:

1. There is a difference in the calculation of the cost of production that has been determined by the Tofu Business to Semoga Laris, the Chinese Tofu Business Mrs. Feby and the Sumedang Tofu Business with the calculation of the cost of production according to the cost accountant, the cost of production according to the Tofu Business to Laris Rp.1,195.31 according to accounting theory. cost of Rp.8,587, the cost of production of Mrs. Feby's Chinese Tofu Enterprises of Rp.2,115.27 according to cost accounting theory is Rp.18,149, and the cost of

- production according to Sumedang Tofu Enterprises is Rp.718.66 while according to cost accounting it is Rp.10,950.
- 2. There is a difference in the calculation of the cost of raw materials between the Tofu Business Semoga Laris and the Chinese Tofu Business Mrs. Feby with the cost accounting calculation method of calculating the cost of production producing one type of goods due to the cost of using vinegar tofu which is used by the company as an element of production costs then according to the theory Vinegar cost accounting is transferred as factory overhead. The cost of raw materials according to the Tofu Business of May Laris is Rp. 1,135,080,000 according to cost accounting calculations of Rp. 1,134,000,000 and the cost of raw materials according to Ibu Feby's Chinese Tofu Business is Rp. 76,150,000 according to the accounting calculation is Rp. 75,600,000.
- 3. From the calculation of the cost of production for the Tofu Business semoga Laris, the Chinese Tofu Business of Mrs. Feby and the Sumedang Tofu Business according to cost accounting using the cost of production method to produce one type of goods, it was found that the Sumedang Tofu Business had the lowest cost of production with the highest selling price. It can be concluded that between the Tofu Business May Be Laris, the Chinese Tofu Business of Mrs. Feby and the Sumedang Tofu Business, the Sumedang Tofu Business has the highest profit.
  - The suggestions that the author can give after doing research are as follows:
- 1. The company is expected to take into account the calculation of the depreciation charge on the fixed assets it owns.
- 2. The Tofu Company Hopefully Laris and the Chinese Tofu Company Mrs. Feby should review the calculation of the cost of production that has been done previously so that the calculation of the cost of production becomes more precise.

## **REFERENCES**

- Ahmad, Firdaus,. Dan Abdullah, Wasilah. 2012. *Akuntansi Biaya*. Edisi ketiga. Salemba Empat.
- Armanto Witjaksono, SE, AK, MM. 2013. *Akuntansi Biaya*, Yogyakarta: Graha Ilmu, 2013, edisi revisi.
- Bustami, Bastian dan Nurlela. 2010. *Akuntansi Biaya*. Edisi kedua. Jakarta: Mitra Wacana Media.
- Firmansyah, iman. 2013. Akuntansi Biaya Gampang. Bandung: Dunia Cerdas.
- Helmina Batubara. 2013. Penentuan Harga Pokok Produksi Berdasarkan Metode Full Costing Pada Pembuatan Etalase Kaca dan Aluminium di UD. Istana Aluminium Manado. EMBA. 1(3): 219
- Indro Djumali, Jullie J. Sondakh, Lidia Mawikere. 2014. *Perhitungan Harga Pokok Produksi Menggunakan Metode Variabel Costing Dalam Proses Penentuan Harga Jual Pada PT. Sari Malalugis Bitung*. Berkala Ilmiah Efisien. 14(2):84
- Jusup, Haryono. 2011. *Dasar-Dasar Akuntansi*. Cetakan Pertama. Penerbit STIE YKPN Yogyakarta.
- Masiyal Kholmi, 2003 .Akuntasi Biaya. Edisi Empat, Yogyakarta, BPFE.

- Mulyadi. 2014. Sistem Akuntansi. Cetakan Keempat. Jak``arta : Salemba Empat. Keputusan Menteri Keuangan Nomor 425/KMK.01/2002.
- *Mulyadi. 2013.*Sistem Akuntansi, Edisi Ketiga, Cetakan Keempat, Salemba. Empat, Jakarta.
- Nienik H. Samsul. 2013. Perbandingan Harga Pokok Produksi Full Costing dan Variabel Costing Untuak Harga Jual CV. Pyramid. EMBA. 1(3):369
- Setiadi Pradana, Saerang David P.E, Runtu Tresje. 2014. *Perhitungan Harga Pokok Produksi Dalam penentuan Harga Jual Pada CV. Minahasa Mantap Perkasa*. Jurnal Berkala Ilmiah Efisiensi.14(2):71
- Slat Henri, Andre. 2013. Analisi Perhitungan Harga Pokok Produk dengan Metode Full Costing dan Penentuan Harga Jual.EMBA. 1(3): 111
- Soemarso, SR. 2004. Akuntansi Suatu Pengantar. Buku Satu. Edisi Kelima. Jakarta: Salemba Empat.
- Sugiyono, 2014. Metode Penelitian Pendidikan Pendekatan Kuantitatif, Kualitatif dan R&D. Bandung: Alfabeta
- Suryani, YuniAstutiDwi. 2015. "Penilaian Tingkat Kesehatan Unit Simpan Pinjam Koperasi Pegawai Republik Indonesia "PGP" Kecamatan Prembun Kabupaten Kebumen Tahun 2011-2012". **Skripsi** Sarjana Pendidikan, Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta. Diakses pada 24 Oktober 2018.
- Sylvia, Rika. 2018. Analisis Perhitungan Harga Pokok Produksi dengan Menggunakan Metode Full Costing dan Variabel Costing pada Tahu Mama Kokom di Kota Baru. Ekonomi dan Manajemen. 12(1): 55